- 10/8 4-

In effect we are in rebellion against the divine system of justice and as a result have become vigilantes.

The Scriptures assign to Christ the duties of acting as our Prosecutor and Defense Attorney. The term is "Advocate": **PARA'KLnTOS** in the Greek:

Used in a court of justice to denote a legal assistant, counsel for the defense, advocate, intercessor or one who pleads another's cause.

- 5- Our Lord's legal appointment to this position is noted in 1 John 2:
 - v l = If anyone sins, we have an Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous.
- 6- It is thus blasphemous for us to assume the unique prerogative assigned to Christ to judge and punish members of the royal family.
- 7- It is not only a violation of the honor code but is also tantamount to contempt of court.
- 8- Reading from <u>Black's Law Dictionary</u>, 4th ed., s.v. "Contempt of Court," we have the following:

Any act which is calculated to embarrass, hinder, or obstruct court in administration of justice.

Direct contempts are those committed in the immediate view and presence of the court (such as insulting language or acts of violence) or so near the presence of the court as to obstruct or interrupt the due and orderly course of proceedings. These are punishable summarily.

- 9- When in contempt of court, dangerous assumptions on your part become obvious. You are of the opinion that:
 - 1- Jesus Christ cannot fairly judge your case so you must.
 - 2- You are better at dispensing punishment than the Lord.

¹ Henry Campbell Black, <u>Black's Law Dictionary</u> (1968; reprint, St. Paul: West Publishing Co., 1973), 390.

- 3- Your system of justice is more efficient than heaven's.
- 10- In reality, you have lowered yourself to the level of your adversary and join him as a candidate for divine punishment.
- 11- So if we are not allowed to administer judgment and punishment when fellow believers break harmony then what are we to do? What follows next in the verse is the adversative conjunction:
- >ALLA' = Sets up a contrast between what the Roman believers are presently doing and what they are to begin doing. TXL: "instead." This is followed by the aorist active participle of the verb:
- **DI'DWMI +** the direct object **TO'POS** = "give place"
 - aor = Constative; contemplates the action of the verb in its entirety, the total transfer of judicial prosecution over to Jesus Christ.
 - act = The believer produces the action by permitting the Lord to administer appropriate punishment upon the offending party.
 - imp = Entreaty; not a direct command but takes the form of an urgent request and thus places emphasis on individual volition.
 - 1- To "give place" means that the believer who has had harmony broken by the actions of others is to make a volitional decision not to retaliate.
 - 2- Instead he is to defer to the justice of God and allow Jesus Christ complete jurisdiction over the case.
 - 3- According to <u>Black's</u> (s.v. p. 991), "jurisdiction" is "the legal right by which judges exercise their authority."
 - 4- The term "give place" where the verb DI'DWMI's imperative mood is one of entreaty, emphasizes the volitional demands on the believer to obey its mandate.
 - 5- Since it is not a direct command but rather an appeal to individual volition, the best translation of this term is "defer."

X. /

Go TO REVIEW P. CD/1246 ff Cuy 9, 1992 (1) Nov. 12, 1992 GERIZIM

CTL: Stop (the current practice of) avenging yourselves, members of the royal family. Instead, defer . . .

Next we have the dative singular indirect object from the noun:

>ORGn' = Punishment. But it includes more than just anticipated punishment but includes the entire system by which that punishment is justly determined. The better English word to use in this context is "prosecution": (Black's, s.v. 1385) "To "prosecute" an action is not merely to commence it, but includes following it to an ultimate conclusion; a proceeding instituted and carried on by due course of law, before a competent tribunal, for the purpose of determining the guilt or innocence of a person charged with crime." With it is the definite article:

Tn; = the (untranslated in the English) "(the) prosecution"

- 1- The definite article indicates a certain wrath or prosecution in context.
- 2- It takes us back to the first mention of **`ORGn'** in Romans; Romans 1:
 - v 18 = Grain for punishment (prosecution) from God is being revealed from heaven (decision from the Supreme Court) against all ungodliness (heathens) and unrighteousness (-V@GH) of those who suppress the truth (Gospel) through unrighteousness.
- 3- Romans 1:16 refers to the Gospel as being the power of God for salvation.
- 4- Verse 17 tells us that the justice of God blesses those who adjust to the plan of God through faith in Christ.
- 5- Verse 18 says the justice of God punishes those who, through rejection of the Gospel, choose to remain in heathenism.
- 6- Thus, a policy is stated: The justice of God blesses the righteous and punishes the unrighteous.
- 7- In Romans 12:19 we see that when a member of the royal family is assaulted and leaves its prosecution in the hands of the Lord, then justice will punish the offender.

- 8- Resolution of the issue demands that the case be prosecuted under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Heaven and not the unprofessional vigilante tactics of a self-appointed People's Court.
- 9- Retaliation, violence, and revenge only serve to redirect the wrath of God away from your antagonist and refocus it at your own head.
- 10- By deferring to the Supreme Court of Heaven, corrective measures are taken in the sphere of absolute fairness and with objective impartiality.
- CTL: Stop (the current practice of) avenging yourselves, members of the royal family. Instead, defer to prosecution from the justice of God . . .

Next we have the perfect passive indicative of the verb:

- GRA'PhW = to write; "for it stands written." Refers to an
 excerpt from the completed canon of Old Testament
 Scripture, specifically, Deuteronomy 32:35.
- pass = The Old Testament canon received the action of the verb through verbal, plenary inspiration.

Verbal means that the Bible in its original words, from first to last, is the exact record of the mind and will of God as He intended it to be.

Plenary means the entire text is complete without qualifications therefore absolute in content and fact. However these facts are not necessarily equally important or equally indispensable. For the Bible quotes human and Satanic lies, and erroneous views of false prophets.

Therefore, inspiration guarantees the accuracy of what is there, but it does not condone or sponsor errors, evils, or falsehood; it merely explains them in detail.

Therefore divine inspiration may be defined as follows:

TBS/1

In casual conversation with some of you I have heard a certain comment about the vice-presidential debate of last Tuesday. Although the comment was very innocent it disturbed me that I had done such a poor job of teaching that those of my congregation would analyze that debate from the viewpoint of the ignorant masses.

The statement to which I refer goes something like this: "Adm. Stockdale didn't look presidential."

Now the more I thought about that the more concerned I got. when What was also being implied although not stated is that both $t_{y} \tau^{v}$ Al Gore and Dan Quayle do <u>look</u> presidential.

Is this the kind of criterion we of this congregation are using to determine who should hold office in this country? I trust not. But in case there are some who do not understand the superior qualifications, the extraordinary intellect and the unmatched INS/GHT of Adm. James B. Stockdale to be president of this country, I will soon bring you evidence of all three.

The reason for this is that during the debate it was only Adm. Stockdale who accurately answered the question **as the** how we could heal our inner cities. The two good-looking, spitshined, dressed-to-the-nines party hacks bloviated about "enterprise zones" and "job training." This is nothing but a slopjar full of political garbage designed to pacify special interest groups and to ultimately pay off what are erroneously called, "minority leaders."

Stockdale nailed it. He said what we needed to do was to return the virtue of loving one's neighbor to the inner city. And he gave as his example his experience of governing several hundred Vietnam P.O.W.s for over 4 years in the Hanoi prison system. The unifying factor for them was unconditional love for each other and a devotion and honor for one's flag and country. From these inner resources they were able to survive and finally achieve repatriation with honor.

Only Adm. Stockdale answered the question properly. Not one man in this presidential campaign, all the way from the Iowa caucuses down through the primaries and onward have ever had the personal experience of creating a government and then establishing the laws and policies under which it will function. To then convince others of its merits and motivat them to honor its objectives. No one except Adm. James B. Stockdale. Not only has he done these things he has further served as chief executive officer in leading men under his authority within the boundaries of a government he himself the So, yes! Adm. Stockdale is far better qualified to lead this nation than any of those professional Madison Avenue created automatons who show their pretty faces to the TV cameras. Such types are either lying, or protecting those who do. Stockdale is the only real leader among them, tried in the crucible of communist torture and, as gold refined by fire, was found intrinsically capable and is uniquely qualified among the candidates.

Adm. Stockdale may not be able to effectively articulate his thoughts under the glare of TV klieg lights, before a carnivorous press and in front of an audience programmed to evaluate the character of a man based on his acting ability. The constraints of political correctness demand rhetorical veils in which he has not been trained nor which he would have the inclination to utilize if he had.

But give him a pen and paper; permit him to freely express his thoughts and then provide him an objective forum from which to verbalize those ideas and no one is more eloquent.

And when it comes to how he looks, consider this. That sissified Albert Gore, who reminds you of an escapee from a holy roller Sunday School camp and who sounds like someone still unsure of his sexual orientation, would not look nearly so polished if some communist thug beat him in the face with a rubber hose once a week for seven years. Mr. Gore is a Madison Avenue shill spewing the venom of every evil misfit organization plaguing this country. He may look good but he thinks bad.

Dan Quayle did a masterful job of neutralizing Gore's spewings but he himself is handicapped by his association with a Republican Party which is now trying to win elections based on how much more economical **Union** welfare state will be when compared to the Democrats'.

Both Gore and Quayle are professional politicians and thus are comfortable before the camera. Neither have ever had to do anything other than occupy an office and no more responsibility than goes with being a politician. Neither has ever had to defend freedom and stand for truth at the threat of life and limb.

& FARE

JBS/2

Have either of these two men ever been beaten in the head with rubber hoses? I quote from the book $\underline{P.O.W.}$ by John G. Hubbell, page 512, "(Stockdale) found himself again in Room 18, facing Bug. Stockdale had been caught trying to communicate, and Bug was furious. Stockdale was tied into torture ropes. Bug lashed him across the face with a rubber hose. He harangued, lectured, worked himself into a frenzy, sustained it, and kept lashing the hose across Stockdale's face."

And you say the man doesn't look presidential. Let's beat Al Gore and Dan Quayle in the face with a rubber hose for awhile and see how presidential they look.

It was actions such as these repeatedly administered for over 7 years that may contribute to the Admiral's "non-presidential looks" but I personally find him to be quite handsome especially under the circumstances.

Here's another little exercise I would bet neither Gore or Quayle, or Clinton or Bush have ever engaged in and because of it look presidential as a result.

I read from Adm Stockdale's book, In Love and War, page 169 and following--with visual aids which we will call "photo-ops for the 1992 campaign."

These drawings are by a P.O.W., LCDR John M. McGrath who developed these from memory after Operation Homecoming. We**'**ll note these two plus four more and see how presidential Gore and Quayle might appear in similar circumstances. -(G, TO M. GRATH)

How about some of the Admiral's eloquence.

"Freedom: Our Most Precious National Treasure." 29 Parade. June 1980, p. 26.

The Atlantic, "The World of Epictetus: Reflections on Survival and Leadership." April 1978, p. 98ff.

proceedings. "Moral Leadership." Sept. 1980, p. 86ff.

This marvelous thought written so eloquently by a man many say does not seem presidential. While he was suffering in honorable defiance against communist tyranny in the torture chambers of Hanoi, Presidential-looking presidential candidate Bill Clinton was organizing and leading anti-war demonstrations in Europe. He was able to find time to do this since it was so necessary for him to attend Oxford University and to tour the Soviet Union supplying the expertise and some of the personnel who, inflicted so much pain on Adm Stockdale and his 1 SHUMA fellow P.O.W.s.

10011

StekDALE That be did not bring any of this up during the debates is proof of the fact he is a gentleman and as a Christian understand some of the principles of the Royal Family Honor Code.

Atlantic article, paragraph on p. 103:

, address

I came to understand what tough people these were. I was willing to fight them to the death, but I grew to realize that hatred was an indulgence, a very inefficient emotion. I remember thinking, "If you were committed to beating the dealer in a gambling casino, would hating him help your game?"

This leads us back into our study of the Royal Family Honor Code.

God the Holy Spirit so supernaturally directed the human writers of Scripture, that without waving their human intelligence, individuality, literary style, personal feelings or any other human factor, His own complete and coherent message to man was recorded with perfect accuracy in the original languages of Scripture, the very words bearing the authority of divine authorship.

Therefore, the passive voice indicates that man was the instrument but not the author of the Word of God. This portion of the completed Old Testament canon is now incorporated by Paul, under the ministry of the Holy Spirit, into the canon of the New Testament and here placed within the context of the Honor Code.

ind = Declarative; Reality of three facts: (1) This is a quote from the Old Testament canon, (2) the quote is a statement of unalterable, Biblical fact, and (3) it is now a part of the New Testament and the Honor Code.

8-10/8 A

3-10/15 Let me give you the quote from Deuteronomy from the King James Version. Deut 32:

v 35 = To me belongeth vengeance, and recompense; their foot shall slide in due time: for the day of their calamity is at hand, and the things that shall come upon them make haste.

The New American Standard does an excellent job of giving us a good English translation from the Hebrew:

NAS: Vengeance is Mine and retribution. In due time their foot will slip; for the day of their calamity is near, and the impending things are hastening upon them.

The quote by Paul in Romans 12:19 reads like this in the King James Version:

- v 19 b = "Vengeance is Mine; I will repay," saith the Lord.
- 1- The sentence begins with the noun form of a verb we studied from this passage last Tuesday evening, the present active participle of EKDIKE'W. (CD//2/0) 10/6
- 2- Remember this is a compound. **EK** means "out from," and **DI'Kn** means "to execute punishment."

STOPPED FOR COLUMBUS DAY SPECIAL: "EXONCISM OF THE NEW WORLD," SUNDAY, 11 OET, BOTH SESSIONS, AND TUESDAY, 13 OCT. 1992. (C:\WP\92SP-CD.OI) RESUMED REGULAR STUDY ON THURSDAY, 15 OCT. 1992 (SEE ABOVE).